Cobalt-Catalyzed C−H Arylations, Benzylations, and Alkylations with Organic Electrophiles and Beyond

Lutz Ackermann*

Institut für Organisc[he](#page-5-0) und Biomolekulare Chemie, Georg-August-Universität, Tammannstraße 2, 37077 Göttingen, Germany

ABSTRACT: Catalytic C−H functionalizations are increasingly viable tools for sustainable syntheses. In recent years, inexpensive cobalt complexes were identified as powerful catalysts for C−H arylations with challenging organic electrophiles. In particular, cobalt complexes of N-heterocyclic carbenes enabled high catalytic efficacy under exceedingly mild reaction conditions. This strategy set the stage for challenging direct alkylations with primary and sterically hindered secondary alkyl halides. Herein, the recent rapid evolution of cobaltcatalyzed C−H transformations with organic electrophiles is reviewed until summer 2014.

Substituted arenes are integral structural motifs in a wide
range of bioactive compounds of importance to inter alia
nharmoceutical industries or group protection (Figure 1) 1^{-3} pharmaceutical industries or crop protection (Figure 1).^{1−3}

Inspired by the early pioneering work of Ullmann and Goldberg,^{4,5} the regioselective preparation of bi(he[te](#page-1-0)r[o\)ar](#page-5-0)yls was in large part achieved via transition-metal-catalyzed crosscoupling [rea](#page-5-0)ctions between organic electrophiles and organometallic reagents (Scheme 1a).⁶ In particular, palladiumcatalyzed cross-coupling reactions with aryl halides as the electrophiles have thus been [es](#page-1-0)ta[bl](#page-5-0)ished as indispensable tools for the synthesis of substituted biaryls. However, recent focus has shifted toward achieving catalytic arylations with phenolderived arylating reagents, since these electrophiles are readily accessible, inexpensive, and can be implemented as directing groups in arene functionalization strategies.⁷ The high C−O dissociation energy in phenols calls for an activation of these substrates,⁸ which was pr[ed](#page-5-0)ominantly achieved with very costly fluorine-containing reagents.⁹ In this regard, a notable recent advance i[n](#page-5-0) cross-coupling chemistry was accomplished by challenging C−C formatio[ns](#page-5-0) with more difficult to activate, fluorine-free electrophiles, such as aryl tosylates, mesylates, phosphates, sulfamates or carbamates (Scheme 1b), with significant recent progress being achieved by Dankwardt, Garg, Shi, Chatani, and Snieckus among others.^{7,10,11} While these cross-coupling methods are highly vers[ati](#page-1-0)le, they inherently rely on the use of prefunctionalized or[ganom](#page-5-0)etallic starting materials as the nucleophiles, leading to undesired byproduct formation and inconvenient, lengthy reaction sequences.

The recent decade has witnessed remarkable progress in the development of step-economical¹² direct functionalizations of ubiquitous C−H bonds as latent functional groups, which served as the stimulus for the de[ve](#page-6-0)lopment of environmentally sound and economically attractive arylation strategies.¹³ Until very recently, C−H arylations of heteroarenes with fluorine-free phenol-derived electrophiles were solely accomplished [wi](#page-6-0)th the aid of palladium complexes, 14 while nickel catalysts were

utilized by Itami and co-workers for recent direct arylations and alkenylations of C−H acidic azoles.15,16 Direct arene arylations with tosylates or mesylates were restricted to complexes of the rare-transition-metals ruthenium^{17,[18](#page-6-0)} [o](#page-6-0)r palladium (Scheme 2a,b).¹⁹ In stark contrast, protocols that exploit more abundant first-row transition-metal catalys[ts fo](#page-6-0)r direct arene arylations [u](#page-1-0)nfor[tun](#page-6-0)ately continue to be scarce (Scheme $2c$).²⁰

Pioneering findings by Kharasch and Fields indicated the notable power of cobalt salts as effecti[ve](#page-1-0) [cat](#page-6-0)alysts for homocouplings of Grignard reagents.²¹ Moreover, Murahashi and Horiie described in 1956 the chelation-assisted direct metalation of azobenzene 1, which by [ca](#page-6-0)rbonylative cyclization furnished indazolone 2 (Scheme 3). 22

On the basis of these early contributions, considerable progress was thereafter made i[n](#page-6-0) cobalt-catalyzed crosscouplings and direct functionalizations.23−²⁵ In particular, the research groups of Yoshikai,²⁶ Nakamura,^{27–29} and Kanai³⁰ devised methods for chelation-assisted [hydroa](#page-6-0)rylations of C−C multiple bonds, providing ste[p-](#page-6-0)economical [acces](#page-6-0)s to alkylat[ed](#page-6-0) arenes.²³ In addition, oxidative C−H functionalizations with nucleophilic Grignard reagents were recently accomplished by means [of](#page-6-0) cobalt catalysis.³¹ Contrarily, the synthesis of biaryls through most user-friendly cobalt-catalyzed direct arylations of arenes with easily accessi[ble](#page-6-0), inexpensive organic electrophiles had proven elusive until 2012. In this Synopsis, the remarkable recent progress in cobalt-catalyzed C−H arylations of arenes is reviewed through summer 2014, along with advances in mechanistically related direct alkylations with organic halides.

■ C-H ARYLATIONS

Phenol-Derived Electrophiles. In consideration of the limitations in catalyzed direct arene arylations with stable, inexpensive phenol derivatives using user-friendly cobalt

Received: June 18, 2014 Published: August 7, 2014

Figure 1. Representative bioactive biaryls.

Scheme 1. Organic Electrophiles in Conventional Cross-Coupling Reactions

(a) conventional cross-coupling

Scheme 2. Evolution of Catalyzed C−H/C−O Functionalizations

(a) Ruthenium-catalyzed arene C-H arylation with tosylates

Scheme 3. Cobalt-Mediated Direct Functionalization of Azobenzene 1

catalysts, the Ackermann group initially set out to devise reaction conditions for C−H arylations of arenes 3 with electronically deactivated sulfamates 4 (Scheme 4).³² In this context it is noteworthy that direct arene arylations with unactivated aryl sulfamates 4 have proven elusive an[d h](#page-6-0)ave not even been viable with otherwise broadly applicable palladium or ruthenium catalysts. Initial optimization studies revealed that among a set of representative (pre)ligands, including mono- or bidentate phosphines, optimal results were obtained with in situ generated N-heterocyclic carbene $(NHC)^{33,34}$ precursors bearing sterically hindered N,N'-substituents. Specifically, a catalytic system comprising $Co(\text{acc})_2$ and [IM](#page-6-0)esHCl (6a, Figure 2) proved to be highly effective, especially when

Scheme 4. Cobalt-Catalyzed C−H Arylations with Aryl Sulfamates 4

performing the C−H arylations with CyMgCl as the base in DMPU, a solvent previously utilized by Nakamura and coworkers.²⁹ As to the nature of the cobalt source, cobalt(II) and cobalt(III) compounds displayed comparable catalytic effica-cies.³² [The](#page-6-0) optimized catalytic system was found to be widely applicable in the chelation-assisted C−H arylation with aryl sulf[am](#page-6-0)ates 4 (Scheme 4), even when employing electron-rich, and hence deactivated, substrates 4.

The versatile cobalt catalyst was not limited to aryl sulfamates 4 but also set the stage for unprecedented arene C−H functionalizations with challenging aryl carbamates 7 (Scheme $5)^{32}$ Notably, electron-rich as well as electrondeficient carbamates 7 were converted with high catalytic efficacy u[nd](#page-2-0)e[r](#page-6-0) exceedingly mild reaction conditions, 35 namely even at ambient reaction temperature.³² Notably, the direct functionalizations chemoselectively delivered the mo[no](#page-6-0)arylated products 5. Aryl carbamates 7 wit[h](#page-6-0) sterically hindered substituents in the ortho position furnished the desired products 5, highlighting the potential of aryl carbamates 7 for strategies that merge directed ortho-metalation (DoM)³⁶ and catalytic C− H activation.³² As to the reaction mechanism (vide infra), it is noteworthy that intramolecular competitio[n e](#page-6-0)xperiments with $meta$ -fluoro-[sub](#page-6-0)stituted arenes³⁷ site-selectively delivered the biaryls through functionalization of the kinetically more acidic C−H bond, which can b[e](#page-6-0) rationalized in terms of a deprotonative-type C−H metalation.³² In accordance with this rationale, intermolecular competition experiments between differently substituted substrates 3 rev[eal](#page-6-0)ed the higher inherent reactivity of electron-deficient arenes 3.

N-Heteroaromatic scaffolds are key structural motifs in a variety of bioactive compounds.³⁸ Thus, we were pleased to find that heteroarenes 8 also served as useful substrates for cobalt-catalyzed C−H arylation[s w](#page-6-0)ith aryl sulfamates 4 and

Figure 2. Preligands 6 utilized for cobalt-catalyzed C−H functionalizations.

carbamates 7 (Scheme 6).³² Indeed, N-substituted indoles³⁹ 8 were site-selectively functionalized at position C-2, which also

Scheme 6. Cobalt-Catalyzed C−H Arylations of Indoles 8 with Carbamates 7

enabled the synthesis of sterically congested tri-orthosubstituted heterobiaryls 9.³²

Aryl Chlorides. Aryl chlorides are the most attractive single class of aryl halides for b[iar](#page-6-0)yl syntheses since they are costeffective while being widely available. $40,41$ Subsequent to the development of first cobalt-catalyzed direct arylations with phenol derivatives (vide supra), 3^2 t[wo p](#page-6-0)rotocols for cobaltcatalyzed direct arylations with aryl chlorides were independ-ently disclosed by the groups of [Yo](#page-6-0)shikai and Ackermann.^{42,43} Hence, Yoshikai and co-workers found that a catalytic system consisting of CoBr₂ and HIMesCl (6a) allowed for C[−](#page-6-0)[H](#page-6-0) arylations of ketimines 10 (Scheme 7).⁴² Interestingly, the direct arylations proceeded most efficiently at 25 °C, and a sterically hindered neopentyl Gri[gn](#page-6-0)ard reagent (t- $BuCH₂MgBr)$ proved to be the optimal base by minimizing

Scheme 7. C−H Arylations of Aromatic Imines 10 with Aryl Chlorides 11 (PMP = p -Methoxyphenyl)

byproduct formation through undesired Kumada−Corriu crosscoupling reactions. The thus-optimized catalytic system displayed a broad substrate scope, delivering the arylated acetophenone derivatives 12 after acidic hydrolysis. A variety of arenes was functionalized by chelation-assisted cobalt catalysis. However, trifluoro-substituted arenes provided unsatisfactorily low yields of the desired products. The site-selectivity of the C−H arylations was largely governed by steric interactions, unless substituents displaying a secondary directing group were present. Interestingly, the catalytic system was also successfully applied to an imine derived from a heteroaromatic carbaldehyde.

On the basis of their previous findings on cobalt-catalyzed C−H arylations with phenol-derived electrophiles,³² the Ackermann group developed expedient cobalt-catalyzed direct arylations with aryl chlorides through pyridine as[sist](#page-6-0)ance (Scheme 8). 43 We were pleased to observe that the catalytic system originally designed for direct arylations with aryl sulfamates 4^{32} 4^{32} 4^{32} was also optimal for direct functionalizations with aryl [c](#page-3-0)hlorides 11, which readily occurred at ambient reaction tem[pe](#page-6-0)rature.⁴³ Indeed, the catalytic system comprising of $Co(\text{ac}a)_2$ and IMesHCl (6a) allowed for chelation-assisted arylations with amp[le](#page-6-0) substrate scope and excellent monoselectivities (Scheme 8). The power of the cobalt catalyst was among others illustrated by efficient direct arylations with a low catalyst loading of [on](#page-3-0)ly 0.5 mol % $Co(\text{acac})_2$. We were particularly delighted by the outstanding site-selectivity with malkoxy-substituted arenes, which delivered the sterically more congested biaryls 5 as the sole products, highlighting a

Scheme 8. Site-Selective C−H Arylations with Chlorides 11

considerable secondary directing group effect. Intriguingly, this observation was exploited for the preparation of tri-orthosubstituted biaryls, which indicated the great potential for the future development of asymmetric^{44,45} cobalt-catalyzed C−H arylations.

■ C-H BENZYLATIONS

The inexpensive cobalt catalyst was not restricted to $C(sp^2)-$ C(sp²)-forming processes but also enabled effective C−H benzylation⁴⁶ reactions on indoles 8 (Scheme 9).³² Thus, the $C(sp^2) - C(sp^3)$ formation was realized with benzyl phosphates 13 at a re[mar](#page-6-0)kably mild reaction temperature of [23](#page-6-0) °C.

Scheme 9. C−H Benzylations of Heteroarenes 8 with Phosphates 11

■ C-H ALKYLATIONS

While direct arylation chemistry has witnessed major progress during the past decade, C−H functionalizations with alkyl halides as the organic electrophiles continue to be scarce.⁴⁶ In particular, direct alkylations with secondary alkyl halides are extremely challenging because oxidative addition is [oft](#page-6-0)en difficult and because β -hydride elimination of the thus-formed transition-metal alkyl complexes frequently outcompetes the desired reductive elimination.

In this regard, it is notable that Nakamura and co-workers elegantly devised effective cobalt-catalyzed direct n-alkylations with primary alkyl halides 16 (Scheme 10). 29 Interestingly, the C−H n-alkylation proceeded with synthetically useful secondary amides 15 in the absence of any add[itio](#page-6-0)nal NHC ligand. While primary alkyl chlorides 16 were efficiently converted, a

Scheme 10. C−H Alkylations of Benzamides 15 with Primary Alkyl Chlorides 16

secondary alkyl chloride gave an unsatisfactorily low conversion of only 15%. The versatile *n*-alkylation strategy was applicable to aromatic and heteroaromatic substrates 15 and allowed for the use of differently decorated alkyl chlorides 16.

Based on our previous findings on cobalt-catalyzed direct arylations (vide supra)³² and our continued interest in catalyzed C−H alkylations,46−⁴⁹ we examined cobalt catalysts for C−H transformatio[ns w](#page-6-0)ith alkyl halides 16. Notably, the cobalt catalyst derived fro[m NH](#page-6-0)C precursor HIMesCl (6a) enabled pyridine-assisted C−H alkylations,⁴³ yet the most effective catalysis was achieved here when the sterically hindered NHC derivative 6b was used (Sche[me](#page-6-0) 11). Therefore,

Scheme 11. C−H Alkylations with Alkyl Chlorides 16

chelation-assisted direct alkylations were accomplished with various alkyl chlorides 16. The C−H functionalizations efficiently occurred on various arenes displaying a set of electron-donating or electron-withdrawing substituents with excellent site-selectivities.

Likewise, the inexpensive cobalt catalyst proved applicable to the direct alkylation of indoles 8 (Scheme 12).⁴³ N-Pyridyl- and N-pyrimidyl-substituted heteroarenes 8 were identified as suitable starting materials, the latter of w[hich](#page-4-0) [se](#page-6-0)t the stage for

a removable directing group strategy.^{50,51} The catalytic system showed a wide substrate scope, as was among others illustrated by the successful use of various *[n](#page-6-0)*[-a](#page-6-0)lkyl chlorides 16^{43} Furthermore, substituted indoles 8 proved to be viable substrates, even with sterically congested substituents at t[he](#page-6-0) C-3 or C-7 position.

In consideration of the difficulties associated with the use of sterically hindered secondary alkyl halides $20,^{46}$ we were particularly pleased to find that the in situ generated cobalt catalyst allowed for C−H functionalizations [w](#page-6-0)ith these challenging organic electrophiles 20 as well (Scheme 13).⁴³ The C−H alkylations proceeded with excellent orthoselectivity⁴⁸ and proved amenable to functi[on](#page-6-0)alizations on arenes 3^{4} as well as heteroarenes 8^{43}

Based on their previous findings on C−H arylations with aryl chlorides,⁴² Yoshikai and co-workers developed cobaltcatalyzed direct alkylations of ketimines 10 (Scheme 14).^{52,53}

In contrast to their previous report, cobalt catalysts derived from the N,N-dialkyl-substituted NHC precursor 6c or its benzannulated analog 6d proved ideal (Figure 2). Interestingly, the method was successfully applied to both alkyl bromides and alkyl chlorides, with the former generally fur[nis](#page-2-0)hing improved yields.

Intriguingly, the cobalt catalyst also allowed for more challenging C−H alkylations with secondary alkyl halides 20 with ample substrate scope (Scheme 15).⁵² The optimized

protocol was broadly applicable to cyclic secondary alkyl bromides and chlorides, and an unsymmetrical acyclic substrate reacted with a synthetically useful iso:n ratio of 94:6. However, heterocyclic substrates generally led to lower yields of the desired alkylated products.

Mechanistic Considerations. The novel cobalt-catalyzed direct C−H arylations represent rare examples of biaryl syntheses through cobalt-catalyzed functionalizations of unactivated aryl carbamates, sulfamates and chlorides.^{24,25,54} In consideration of this unique reactivity profile, mechanistic studies were conducted to delineate the catalysts [mode](#page-6-0) of action.^{32,43,52} To this end, intermolecular competition experiments between differently substituted arenes generally highlighte[d more](#page-6-0) electron-deficient arenes to be significantly more reactive, both in C−H arylations as well as C−H alkylations. This observation is not in agreement with an electrophilic-type of C−H functionalization, but can be rationalized in terms of a deprotonative-type C−H cobaltation. While the full mechanistic scenario has hitherto to be unraveled, and the coordination chemistry of the individual intermediates has not been entirely elucidated, mechanistic studies suggest the cobalt-catalyzed C−H functionalizations to proceed by initial cyclometalation⁵⁵ (Scheme 16).³² Further, reactions with isotopically labeled substrates highlighted a significant D/Hexchange reacti[on](#page-6-0) in the ortho[-po](#page-5-0)[siti](#page-6-0)on and are thus suggestive of a reversible C−H metalation.32,42,43,52 Thereafter, the activation of the organic electrophile is suggested to occur. On the basis of attempted cobalt-ca[talyzed d](#page-6-0)irect arylations in the presence of typical radical scavengers³² and alkylations with stereochemically well-defined secondary alkyl halides,⁵² this C− X cleavage is proposed to proceed [vi](#page-6-0)a a single-electron transfer.32,43,52 However, further mechanistic st[ud](#page-6-0)ies are warranted to fully understand the catalytic activation of aromati[c organ](#page-6-0)ic electrophiles within the C−H functionalization manifold. A subsequent radical rebound is put forward to generate intermediate 25, which finally undergoes reductive elimination to furnish the desired product 5, while trans-

metalation with the Grignard reagent regenerates the active cobalt catalyst.

■ CONCLUSION

During the past decade, C−H functionalization strategies using second-row transition-metal catalysts have revolutionized organic syntheses. However, less expensive first-row transition-metal complexes have in the past few years been established as increasingly viable alternatives for economically attractive C−H transformations. In particular, user-friendly cobalt salts were identified as versatile catalysts for stepeconomical chelation-assisted direct C−H arylations with userfriendly organic electrophiles. Hence, NHC-derived cobalt complexes proved to be the key to success for direct arene arylations with aryl chlorides as well as with stable, inexpensive phenol derivatives. The unique power of cobalt-catalyzed C−H activation was, for instance, illustrated by unprecedented direct arylations with unactivated aryl sulfamates or carbamates with low catalyst loadings and under exceedingly mild reaction conditions, namely at ambient reaction temperature. While direct benzylations were accomplished with benzyl phosphates, challenging direct alkylations proved viable with primary alkyl bromides and chlorides with pyridines, pyrimidines, amides, or imines as the site-selectivity ensuring entities. The C−H functionalization approach proved further amenable to the efficient conversion of challenging secondary alkyl halides. In consideration of these recent findings, along with examples of cobalt-catalyzed C−H functionalizations with alternative organic electrophiles containing C−N bonds,56,57 further exciting developments, such as asymmetric C−H functionalizations, are expected in this rapidly evolving resear[ch ar](#page-6-0)ea.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: lutz.ackermann@chemie.uni-goettingen.de. Fax: (+49) 551-39-6777. Tel: (+49)551-39-3202.

Notes

The aut[hors](mailto:lutz.ackermann@chemie.uni-goettingen.de) [declare](mailto:lutz.ackermann@chemie.uni-goettingen.de) [no](mailto:lutz.ackermann@chemie.uni-goettingen.de) [competing](mailto:lutz.ackermann@chemie.uni-goettingen.de) financial interest.

Biography

Lutz Ackermann studied Chemistry at the Christian-Albrechts-University Kiel, Germany, and performed his Ph.D. at the Max-Planck-Institut für Kohlenforschung in the laboratories of Prof. Alois Fü rstner (Mülheim/Ruhr, 2001). After a postdoctoral stay at the University of California at Berkeley with Prof. Robert G. Bergman, he initiated his independent academic career in 2003 at the Ludwig Maximilians-University Mü nchen. In 2007, he became Full Professor at the Georg-August-University Göttingen, and his research group develops catalytic methods for sustainable organic syntheses, with a current focus on C−H activation strategies.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I sincerely thank all former and present co-workers involved in the C−H functionalization projects for their experimental work and intellectual contributions. Generous support by the European Research Council under the European Community's Seventh Framework Program (FP7 2007−2013)/ERC Grant Agreement No. 307535 is gratefully acknowledged.

■ REFERENCES

(1) Ackermann, L. Modern Arylation Methods; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2009.

(2) Beller, M.; Bolm, C. Transition Metals for Organic Synthesis, 2nd ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2004.

(3) de Meijere, A.; Diederich, F. Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2004

(4) Ullmann, F.; Bielecki, J. Chem. Ber. 1901, 34, 2174−2185.

(5) Goldberg, I. Chem. Ber. 1906, 39, 1691−1692.

(6) For a recent review on cross-coupling reactions, see: Johansson Seechurn, C. C. C.; Kitching, M. O.; Colacot, T. J.; Snieckus, V. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 5062−5085 and references cited therein.

(7) For recent reviews on challenging arylations through C−O cleavages, see (a) Mesganaw, T.; Garg, N. K. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2013, 17, 29−39. (b) Tobisu, M.; Chatani, N. ChemCatChem. 2011, 3, 1410−1411. (c) Rosen, B. M.; Quasdorf, K. W.; Wilson, D. A.; Zhang, N.; Resmerita, A.-M.; Garg, N. K.; Percec, V. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1346−1416 and references cited therein..

(8) For examples of biaryl syntheses directly using phenols, see: Ackermann, L.; Pospech, J.; Potukuchi, H. K. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 2146−2149 and references cited therein.

(9) Hö germeier, J.; Reissig, H.-U. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 2747−2763.

(10) Littke, A. In Modern Arylation Methods; Ackermann, L., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2009; pp 25−67.

(11) For selected representative examples, see: (a) Quasdorf, K. W.; Antoft-Finch, A.; Liu, P.; Silberstein, A. L.; Komaromi, A.; Blackburn, T.; Ramgren, S. D.; Houk, K. N.; Snieckus, V.; Garg, N. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 6352−6363. (b) Ackermann, L.; Sandmann, R.;

The Journal of Organic Chemistry **State Act and State Act and State**

(12) Wender, P. A.; Verma, V. A.; Paxton, T. J.; Pillow, T. H. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 40−49.

(13) Representative recent reviews on catalyzed C−H activation: (a) Girard, S. A.; Knauber, T.; Li, C.-J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 74−100. (b) De Sarkar, S.; Liu, W.; Kozhushkov, S. I.; Ackermann, L. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 1461−1479. (c) Engle, K. M.; Mei, T.-S.; Wasa, M.; Yu, J.-Q. Acc. Chem. Res. 2012, 45, 788−802. (d) Alberico,

D.; Scott, M. E.; Lautens, M. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 174−238 and references cited therein. (14) (a) Ackermann, L.; Althammer, A.; Fenner, S. Angew. Chem., Int.

Ed. 2009, 48, 201−204. (b) Ackermann, L.; Barfü sser, S.; Pospech, J. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 724. (c) So, C. M.; Lau, C. P.; Kwong, F. Y. Chem.-Eur. J. 2011, 17, 761-765.

(15) Muto, K.; Yamaguchi, J.; Itami, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 169−172.

(16) Meng, L.; Kamada, Y.; Muto, K.; Yamaguchi, J.; Itami, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 10048−10051.

(17) Ruthenium-catalyzed C−H arylations with aryl tosylates: (a) Ackermann, L.; Vicente, R.; Althammer, A. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2299−2302. (b) Ackermann, L.; Althammer, A.; Born, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 2619−2622.

(18) Ackermann, L.; Vicente, R. Top. Curr. Chem. 2010, 292, 211− 229.

(19) Ackermann, L.; Fenner, S. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 430−432. (20) Authoritative reviews on the use of inexpensive first-row transition-metal catalysts for C−H functionalization (a) Nakamura, E.; Hatakeyama, T.; Ito, S.; Ishizuka, K.; Ilies, L.; Nakamura, M. Org. React. 2014, 83, 1−209. (b) Yamaguchi, J.; Muto, K.; Itami, K. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 19−30. (c) Nakao, Y. Chem. Rec. 2011, 11, 242−251. (d) Kulkarni, A. A.; Daugulis, O. Synthesis 2009, 4087−4109.

(21) Kharasch, M. S.; Fields, E. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1941, 63, 2316− 2320.

(22) Murahashi, S.; Horiie, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1956, 78, 4816− 4817.

(23) Gao, K.; Yoshikai, N. Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 1208−1219.

(24) Cahiez, G.; Moyeux, A. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 1435−1462.

(25) Hess, W.; Treutwein, J.; Hilt, G. Synthesis 2008, 3537−3562.

(26) Selected representative examples: (a) Ding, Z.; Yoshikai, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 8574−8578. (b) Tan, B.-H.; Dong, J.; Yoshikai, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 9610−9614. (c) Lee, P.- S.; Fujita, T.; Yoshikai, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 17283−17295. (d) Gao, K.; Lee, P.-S.; Fujita, T.; Yoshikai, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 12249−12251.

(27) Chen, Q.; Ilies, L.; Yoshikai, N.; Nakamura, E. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 3232−3234.

(28) Ilies, L.; Chen, Q.; Zeng, X.; Nakamura, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 5221−5223.

(29) Chen, Q.; Ilies, L.; Nakamura, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 428−429.

(30) Selected examples: (a) Ikemoto, H.; Yoshino, T.; Sakata, K.; Matsunaga, S.; Kanai, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 5424−5431. (b) Yoshino, T.; Ikemoto, H.; Matsunaga, S.; Kanai, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 2207−2211.

(31) (a) Liu, W.; Cao, H.; Xin, J.; Jin, L.; Lei, A. Chem.-Eur. J. 2011, 17, 3588−3592. (b) To, C. T.; Chan, T. L.; Li, B. Z.; Hui, Y. Y.; Kwok, T. Y.; Lam, S. Y.; Chan, K. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2011, 52, 1023−1026. (c) Li, B.; Wu, Z.-H.; Gu, Y.-F.; Sun, C.-L.; Wang, B.-Q.; Shi, Z.-J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 1109−1113. See also: (d) Tiecco, M. Chem. Commun. 1965, 555−556.

(32) Song, W.; Ackermann, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 8251−8254.

(33) Herrmann, W. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 1290−1309.

(34) Marion, N.; Nolan, S. P. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 1440−1449.

(35) Wencel-Delord, J.; Drö ge, T.; Liu, F.; Glorius, F. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 4740−4761.

(36) Hartung, C. G.; Snieckus, V. In Modern Arene Chemistry; Astruc, D., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2002; pp 330−367.

(37) Clot, E.; Megret, C.; Eisenstein, O.; Perutz, R. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 7817−7827.

(38) Alvarez-Builla, J.; Vaquero, J. J.; Barluenga, J. Modern Heterocyclic Chemistry; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2011.

(39) Selected reviews on the preparation and functionalization of indoles: (a) Cacchi, S.; Fabrizi, G.; Goggiamani, A. Org. React. 2012, 76, 281−534. (b) Humphrey, G. R.; Kuethe, J. T. Chem. Rev. 2006, 106, 2875−2911 and references cited therein.

(40) Littke, A. F.; Fu, G. C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 4176− 4211.

(41) Grushin, V. V.; Alper, H. Chem. Rev. 1994, 94, 1047−1062.

(42) Gao, K.; Lee, P.-S.; Long, C.; Yoshikai, N. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4234−4237.

(43) Punji, B.; Song, W.; Shevchenko, G. A.; Ackermann, L. Chem. Eur. J. 2013, 19, 10605−10610.

(44) Giri, R.; Shi, B.-F.; Engle, K. M.; Maugel, N.; Yu, J.-Q. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 3242−3272.

(45) Herrmann, P.; Bach, T. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 2022−2038.

(46) Ackermann, L. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 4866−4877.

(47) Ackermann, L.; Novák, P.; Vicente, R.; Hofmann, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 6045−6048.

(48) Hofmann, N.; Ackermann, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 5877−5884.

(49) Song, W.; Lackner, S.; Ackermann, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 2477−2480.

(50) Ackermann, L.; Lygin, A. V. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 3332−3335.

(51) Wang, C.; Huang, Y. Synlett 2013, 24, 145−149.

(52) Gao, K.; Yoshikai, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 9279−9282.

(53) Yoshikai, N.; Gao, K. Pure Appl. Chem. 2014, 86, 419−424.

(54) For recent examples, see: (a) Kuzmina, O. M.; Steib, A. K.; Markiewicz, J. T.; Flubacher, D.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 4945−4949. (b) Hatakeyama, T.; Hashimoto, S.; Ishizuka, K.; Nakamura, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 11949−11963 and references cited therein.

(55) Klein, H.-F.; Camadanli, S.; Beck, R.; Leukel, D.; Floerke, U. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 975−977.

(56) Gao, K.; Paira, R.; Yoshikai, N. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 1486−1490.

(57) Gao, K.; Yoshikai, N. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 4305−4307.